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#### Abstract

Woods are of great demand in furniture industry. In this project, we focused on variation of Young's modulus with different woods using uniform bending method. If the beam is loaded at both ends, the elevation produced will form an arc of a circle. This type of bending is called uniform bending. In uniform bending, the bar is placed symmetrically on two knife edges. Two weight hangers are suspended at equal distance from the knife edges. Weights are add one by one and corresponding readings are taken. From these readings, the mean elevation (y) of the midpoint of the bar for a given mass is determined. We have calculated and compared the Young's modulus values. We have studied the Young's modulus of teak, mahogany, jackfruit tree, wild jack and cotton tree. Application of these woods in furniture industry was also studied.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

A rigid body generally means a hard solid object having a definite shape and size. But in reality, bodies can be stretched, compressed and bent. When a sufficiently large external force is applied to a very rigid steel bar, it will deform. This means that solid bodies are not perfectly rigid. A solid has a definite shape and size. A force is required to change (or deform) a body's shape or size. If a helical spring is stretched by gently pulling on its ends, the length of the spring will increase slightly. When you release the ends of the spring, it will regain its original size and shape. Elasticity is the property of a body that tends to recover its original size and shape when an applied force is removed, and the resulting deformation is known as elastic deformation. However, if a force is applied to a lump of putty or mud, they have no gross tendency to regain their previous shape, and they get permanently deformed. Such a material is called plastic. Putty and mud are near ideal plastics.

For small deformations the stress and strain are proportional to each other. This is known as Hooke's law. Stress is directly proportional to strain i.e. stress $=\mathrm{k} \times$ strain, where k is the proportionality constant. k is known as modulus of elasticity. Hooke's law has been found to be valid for most materials. However, there are some objects that do not obey Hooke's law.

## STRESS-STRAIN CURVE:



Figure 1: STRESS-STRAIN CURVE

We can experimentally determine the stress-strain relationship of a material under tensile force. A graph is plotted between the stress (which is equal in magnitude to the applied force per unit area) and the strain produced. A typical graph for a metal is shown in fig.1. These curves help us to understand how a given material deforms with increasing loads. From the graph, we can see that in the region between O to A , the curve is linear. In this region, Hooke's law is obeyed. The body regains its original dimensions when the applied force is removed. In this region, the solid behaves as an elastic body.

In the region from A to B, stress and strain are not proportional. Nevertheless, the body still returns to its original dimension when the load is removed. The point B in the curve is known as yield point (also known as elastic limit) and the corresponding stress is known as yield strength $\left(\sigma_{y}\right)$ of the material. If the load is increased further, the stress developed exceeds the yield strength and strain increases rapidly even for a small change in the stress. The portion of the curve between $B$ and $D$ shows this. When the load is removed, say at some point $C$ between $B$ and $D$, the body does not regain its original dimension. In this case, even when the stress is zero, the strain is not zero. The deformation is said to be a plastic deformation. The point $D$ on the graph is the ultimate tensile strength $\left(\sigma_{u}\right)$ of the material. Beyond this point, additional strain is produced even by a reduced applied force and fracture occurs at point E . If the ultimate strength and fracture points D and E are close, the material is said to be brittle. If they are far apart, the material is said to be ductile. The stress-strain behavior varies from material to material. For example, rubber can be pulled to several times its original length and still returns to its original shape. Although elastic region is very large, the material does not obey Hooke's law over most of the region.

The ratio of stress and strain, called modulus of elasticity, is found to be a characteristic of the material. The ratio of tensile (or compressive) stress $(\sigma)$ to the longitudinal strain $(\varepsilon)$ is defined as Young's modulus and is denoted by the symbol Y

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}=\frac{(F / A)}{(\Delta L / L)}=\frac{F \times L}{A \times \Delta L} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since strain is a dimensionless quantity, the unit of Young's modulus is the same as that of stress i.e., $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ or Pascal (Pa).

Elastic modulus or Young's modulus is a measure of the stiffness of an elastic material. The modulus of elasticity (MOE) measures a wood's stiffness, and is a good overall indicator of its strength. Technically it is a measurement of the ratio of stress placed upon the wood compared to the strain (deformation) that the wood exhibits along the length.

The materials with higher Young's modulus bear higher stiffness materials than lower Young's modulus materials. They are more durable, thus have several applications. By measuring the properties such as Young's modulus, Rigidity modulus etc. of kinds of wood, a great deal of uncertainty can be removed from the building process.

Finding Young's modulus of different woods is useful especially in the field of construction work.

## CHAPTER-2

## THEORY

## UNIFORM BENDING

## PRINCIPLE

The beam is loaded uniformly on its both ends; the bend beam forms an arc of a circle. The elevation is produced in the beam. This type of bending is known as uniform bending. In uniform bending, the bar is placed symmetrically on two knife edges. Two weight hangers are suspended at equal distance from the knife edges. Weights are added one by one and corresponding readings are taken. From these readings, the mean elevation (y) of the midpoint of the bar for a given mass is determined.


Figure 2: Young's modulus of the beam by uniform bending.

At the equilibrium position of the section PA of the beam two equal forces, the applied load W at A (download) and the normal reaction W at C (upward) are acting in the opposite direction constitute a couple.

The External bending moment $=(W \times A E)-(W \times C E)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =W(A E-C E) \\
& =W \times A C
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=W a
$$

## Equation(3)

Where, R is the radius of curvature.
Internal bending moment $=\frac{Y}{R} I_{G}$
Equation(4)
Where Y-Young's modulus of the cantilever.
$I_{g}$ - Geometrical moment of inertia of its cross-section.
R - Radius of the curvature of the neutral axis at P .

At Equilibrium,
External bending moment $=$ Internal bending moment

$$
W a=\frac{Y}{R} I_{g}
$$

Equation(5)
Since, Wa is a constant, R is also constant. Therefore the beam bends into an arc of a circle of radius $R$. Hence the bending in this case is said to be uniform.


Figure 3: Uniform bending

From the property of circle,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C E \times E D=E F \times E G \\
& l / 2 \times l / 2=y(2 R-y) \\
& \frac{l^{2}}{4}=2 R y-y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation(6)
Here $y$ is the elevation produced in the beam. Since the elevation is very small compared to the radius of curvature of the circle, $\mathrm{y}^{2}$ can be neglected.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{l^{2}}{4}=2 R y \\
R=\frac{l^{2}}{8 y}
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting equation (7) in equation (5)

$$
W a=\frac{Y I_{g}}{\left[\frac{l^{2}}{8 y}\right]}
$$

Young's modulus by uniform bending,

$$
Y=\frac{W a l^{2}}{8 y I_{g}}
$$

Equation(8)
For a bar of rectangular cross section, if $b$ and $d$ are the breadth and thickness of the beam

And

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{b d^{3}}{12}
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{Mg}
$$

$$
Y=\frac{3 M g a l^{2}}{2 b d^{3} y}
$$

Equation(11)
Young's modulus of the material of the beam by uniform bending can be calculated as using equation(11).

## PROCEDURE:

The bar is placed symmetrically on two knife edges. Two weight hangers are suspended at equal distance from the knife edge. The distance between the knife edges and distance p of the weight hanger from knife edges are measured. A pin is fixed vertically at the midpoint of the bar with its pointed end upward. The microscope is arranged in front of the pin and focused at the tip of the pin. The slotted weights are added one by one on both the weight hangers and removed one by one a number of times, so the bar is brought into an elastic mode. With some dead load $w_{0}$ on each weight hanger, the microscope is adjusted so that the image of the tip of the pin coincides with the point of intersection of cross wires. The reading of the main scale and Vernier of the travelling microscope are taken. Weights are added one by one and corresponding readings are taken. From the loading and unloading readings, the mean elevation (y) of the midpoint of the bar for a given mass is determined.. The breadth of the bar (b) is measured by using Vernier calipers and thickness of the bar (d) is measured using screw gauge. The Young's modulus of the material bar can be calculated using Equation (4).


Figure 2: UNIFORM BENDING - PIN \& MICROSCOPE APPARATUS

## CHAPTER 3 <br> EXPERIMENTAL OBSERVATIONS

Uniform bending - pin and microscope method was used to study the Young's modulus of teak, mahogany, jackfruit tree, wild jack, cotton tree. Woods are selected based on local availability and used for construction purposes. The five woods were cut into rectangular bars of meter scale dimensions and experiment was done using pin and microscope apparatus.The Young's modulus of the above mentioned woods are calculated and the values compared.

## TEAK

DETERMINATION OF $\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)$ USING PIN AND MICROSCOPE METHOD

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{a} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Length 1 (m) | Mass <br> (kg) | Reading of the telescope |  |  | Elevation <br> for 150 g <br> (cm) | Mean elevation for 150 g y (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right) \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { On } \\ \text { loading } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | On unloading (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.5 | $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ | 7.105 | 7.107 | 7.106 | 0.147 | 0.00159 | 15.723 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+50$ | 7.155 | 7.153 | 7.154 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+100$ | 7.206 | 7.207 | 7.206 | 0.155 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+150$ | 7.253 | 7.253 | 7.253 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+200$ | 7.304 | 7.307 | 7.305 | 0.1785 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+250$ | 7.385 | 7.385 | 7.385 |  |  |  |

Mean $y=1.59 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)=15.723 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

## BREADTH (b) USING VERNIER CALIPERS

Value of main scale division $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$

Number of divisions on the Vernier scale, $n=10$
Least count $(\mathrm{LC})=\frac{1}{n}=0.01 \mathrm{~cm}$

| Trial | MSR <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | VSR <br> (division) | Total reading <br> $[$ MSR+(VSR X LC) $]$ <br> $(c m)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.7 | 5 | 2.75 |
| 2 | 2.7 | 6 | 2.76 |
| 3 | 2.7 | 6 | 2.76 |
| 4 | 2.7 | 5 | 2.75 |
| 5 | 2.7 | 6 | 2.76 |

Mean breadth, $\mathrm{b}=2.756 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$

## THICKNESS (d) USING SCREW GAUGE

Value of pitch scale division $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$
Distance moved by the screw for 6 rotations $=6 \mathrm{~mm}$
Pitch $=\frac{\text { Distance moved }}{\text { Number of rotations }}=\frac{6}{6}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$
Least count $(\mathrm{LC})=\frac{\text { Pitch }}{\text { Number of divisions on head scale }}=\frac{1}{100}=0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$
Zero coincidence $=98$

Zero error $=-2$
Zero correction $=+2$

| Trial | PSR <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | HSR <br> (division) | Corrected HSR <br> (division) | Total reading <br> [PSR+(corrected <br> HSR X LC)] <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 63 | 65 | 6.65 |
| 2 | 6 | 62 | 64 | 6.64 |
| 3 | 6 | 62 | 64 | 6.64 |
| 4 | 6 | 63 | 65 | 6.65 |
| 5 | 6 | 63 | 65 | 6.65 |

Mean thickness, $\mathrm{d}=6.646 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

## SUBSTITUTION AND CALCULATIONS

Young's modulus of Teak wood :
$\mathrm{Y}=\frac{3 M g}{2 b d 3}\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)=\frac{3 \times 0.50 \times 9.8 \times 15.723}{2 \times 2.756 \times 10-2 \times(6.646 \times 10-3) 3}=1.42 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
The standard value of Young's modulus of teak wood $=1.22 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

ERROR: Percent error, $\delta=\frac{\text { |observed value-actual value| }}{\text { actual value }} \times 100 \%$

$$
\delta=\frac{\left|1.42 \times 10^{10}-1.22 \times 10^{10}\right|}{1.22 \times 10^{10}} 100 \%=16.286 \%
$$

DETERMINATION OF $\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)$ USING PIN AND MICROSCOPE METHOD

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{a} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Length 1 (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | Reading of the telescope |  |  | Elevation for 150 g (cm) | Mean <br> elevation <br> for 150 g <br> y (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right) \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | On loading (cm) | On unloading (cm) | Mean (cm) |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.5 | $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ | 6.855 | 6.853 | 6.854 | 0.043 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0373 \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{-2} \end{gathered}$ | 67.024 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+50$ | 6.876 | 6.875 | 6.875 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+100$ | 6.895 | 6.899 | 6.897 | 0.035 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+150$ | 6.902 | 6.901 | 6.9015 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+200$ | 6.910 | 6.911 | 6.9105 | 0.034 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+250$ | 6.931 | 6.931 | 6.931 |  |  |  |

Mean $\mathrm{y}=0.0373 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$
$\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)=67.024 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

## BREADTH (b) USING VERNIER CALIPER

Least count (LC) of Vernier caliper $=0.01 \mathrm{~cm}$

| Trial | MSR <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | VSR <br> (division) | Total reading <br> $[$ MSR+(VSR X LC) $](\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.6 | 5 | 2.65 |
| 2 | 2.6 | 6 | 2.66 |
| 3 | 2.6 | 4 | 2.64 |
| 4 | 2.6 | 5 | 2.65 |
| 5 | 2.6 | 6 | 2.66 |
| 6 | 2.6 | 5 | 2.65 |

Mean breadth, $\mathrm{b}=2.6516 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$

## THICKNESS (d) USING SCREW GAUGE

Least count (LC) of screw gauge $=0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$

Zero coincidence $=12$

Zero error $=+12$
Zero correction $=-12$

| Trial | PSR <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | HSR <br> (division) | Corrected HSR <br> (division) | Total reading <br> [PSR+(corr. HSR <br> XLC)](mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7 | 52 | 40 | 7.40 |
| 2 | 7 | 53 | 41 | 7.41 |
| 3 | 7 | 49 | 37 | 7.37 |
| 4 | 7 | 50 | 38 | 7.38 |
| 5 | 7 | 55 | 43 | 7.43 |
| 6 | 7 | 48 | 36 | 7.36 |

Mean thickness, $\mathrm{d}=7.3916 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

## SUBSTITUTION AND CALCULATIONS

Young's modulus of cotton tree wood (paruthi)
$\mathrm{Y}=\frac{3 M g}{2 b d 3}\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)=\frac{3 \times 0.150 \times 9.8 \times 67.024}{2 \times 2.6516 \times(7.3916 \times 10-3) 3}=1.38 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

The standard value of Young's modulus of cotton tree wood $=0.94 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## JACKFRUIT TREE

DETERMINATION OF $\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)$ USING PIN AND MICROSCOPE METHOD

| a <br> (m) | Length <br> 1 (m) | Mass <br> (kg) | Reading of the telescope |  |  | Elevation for 150 g (cm) | Meanelevationfor 150 g$y(m)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right) \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | On <br> loading <br> (cm) | On <br> unloading <br> (cm) | Mean <br> (cm) |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.5 | $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ | 6.906 | 6.904 | 6.905 | 0.091 | $\begin{gathered} 0.07816 \\ \times 10^{-2} \end{gathered}$ | 31.9828 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+50$ | 6.940 | 6.944 | 6.942 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+100$ | 6.967 | 6.965 | 6.966 | 0.0615 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+150$ | 6.993 | 6.999 | 6.996 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+200$ | 6.003 | 6.004 | 6.0035 | 0.082 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}_{0}+250$ | 6.048 | 6.048 | 6.048 |  |  |  |

Mean $\mathrm{y}=0.07816 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$
$\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)=31.9828 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

## BREADTH (b) USING VERNIER CALIPERS

Least count (LC) of Vernier caliper $=0.01 \mathrm{~cm}$

| Trial | MSR <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | VSR <br> (division) | Total reading <br> $[M S R+($ VSR X LC) $]$ <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1 | 2.6 | 9 | 2.69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2.6 | 9 | 2.69 |
| 3 | 2.6 | 9 | 2.69 |
| 4 | 2.6 | 8 | 2.68 |
| 5 | 2.6 | 9 | 2.69 |
| 6 | 2.6 | 8 | 2.68 |

Mean breadth, $\mathrm{b}=2.686 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$

## THICKNESS (d) USING SCREW GAUGE

Least count (LC) of screw gauge $=0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$

Zero coincidence $=12$

Zero error = +12

Zero correction $=-12$

| Trial | PSR <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | HSR <br> (division) | Corrected HSR <br> (division) | Total reading <br> $[$ PSR+(corrected HSR X <br> LC)] (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7 | 43 | 31 | 7.31 |
| 2 | 7 | 47 | 35 | 7.35 |
| 3 | 7 | 55 | 43 | 7.43 |
| 4 | 7 | 50 | 38 | 7.38 |
| 5 | 7 | 42 | 30 | 7.30 |
| 6 | 7 | 40 | 28 | 7.28 |

Mean thickness, $\mathrm{d}=7.3416 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

## SUBSTITUTION AND CALCULATIONS

Young's modulus of jackfruit tree wood

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y} & =\frac{3 M g}{2 b d 3}\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)=\frac{3 \times 0.5 \times 9.8 \times 31.9828}{2 \times 2.686 \times 10-2 \times(7.3416 \times 10-3) 3} \\
& =2.21 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## WILD JACK

## DETERMINATION OF $\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)$ USING PIN AND MICROSCOPE METHOD

|  | Length | Mass | Rea | ng of micro | cope | Elevation | Mean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{a} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | (m) | $(\mathrm{kg})$ | On loading (cm) | On unloading <br> (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | for load in $150 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> (cm) | elevation for $150 \mathrm{~g}$ <br> y | $\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (m) |  |
| 0.1 | 0.5 | W0 | 7.985 | 7.986 | 7.985 |  | $0.0423 \times 10^{-2}$ | 59.0597 |
|  |  | W0+50 | 8.006 | 8.006 | 8.005 | 0.039 |  |  |
|  |  | W0+100 | 8.01 | 8.01 | 8.01 | 0.04 |  |  |
|  |  | W0+150 | 8.025 | 8.024 | 8.0245 | 0.048 |  |  |
|  |  | W0+200 | 8.045 | 8.046 | 8.0455 |  |  |  |
|  |  | W0+250 | 8.058 | 8.058 | 8.058 |  |  |  |

Mean $\mathrm{y}=0.0423 \mathrm{X} 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$
$\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)=59.0597 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

## BREADTH (b) USING VERNIER CALIPERS

Least count (LC) of Vernier caliper $=0.01 \mathrm{~cm}$

| Trial | MSR | VSR | Total reading=MSR+(VSR x LC) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.6 | 3 | 2.63 |
| 2 | 2.6 | 4 | 2.64 |
| 3 | 2.6 | 5 | 2.65 |
| 4 | 2.6 | 4 | 2.64 |
| 5 | 2.6 | 4 | 2.64 |

Mean breadth $(\mathrm{b})=0.0264 \mathrm{~m}$

## THICKNESS (d) USING SCREW GAUGE

Least count (LC) of screw gauge $=0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$
Zero coincidence $=0$

| Trial | PSR <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | HSR <br> (division) | Corrected <br> HSR <br> (division) | Total reading=PSR+(corr.HSR x LC) <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.5 | 11 | 11 | 7.61 |
| 2 | 7.5 | 12 | 12 | 7.62 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 13 | 13 | 7.63 |
| 4 | 7.5 | 12 | 12 | 7.62 |
| 5 | 7.5 | 13 | 13 | 7.63 |

Mean Thickness $(\mathrm{d})=0.007618 \mathrm{~m}$

## SUBSTITUTION AND CALCULATION

Young's modulus of wild jack, $Y=\frac{3 M g}{2 b d^{3}}\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{3 \times 0.5 \times 9.8}{2 \times\left(2.64 \times 10^{-2}\right)\left(7.618 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{3}}(59.0597) \\
& =3.72 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## MAHOGANY

DETERMINATION OF $\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)$ USING PIN AND MICROSCOPE METHOD

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{a} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Length <br> (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | Reading of microscope |  |  | Elevation for load in$\begin{aligned} & 150 \mathrm{~g} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Meanelevation for150 gy$(\mathrm{m})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right) \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | On <br> loading <br> (cm) | On unloading (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (cm) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.5 | W0 | 7.197 | 7.197 | 7.197 |  | $0.0641 \times 10^{-2}$ | 38.9614 |
|  |  | W0+50 | 7.206 | 7.207 | 7.2075 | 0.057 |  |  |
|  |  | W0+100 | 7.226 | 7.226 | 7.226 | 0.0595 |  |  |
|  |  | W0+150 | 7.253 | 7.225 | 7.254 | 0.076 |  |  |
|  |  | W0+200 | 7.267 | 7.267 | 7.267 |  |  |  |
|  |  | W0+250 | 7.302 | 7.302 | 7.302 |  |  |  |

Mean $\mathrm{y}=0.0641 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}$
$\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)=38.9614 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

## BREADTH (b) USING VERNIER CALLIPERS

Least count (L.C) of vernier caliper $=0.01 \mathrm{~cm}$

| Trial | MSR(cm) | VSR(cm) | Total reading=MSR+(VSR x LC)(cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.5 | 4 | 2.54 |
| 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.53 |
| 3 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.53 |
| 4 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.53 |
| 5 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.53 |

Mean breadth $(b)=0.02532 \mathrm{~m}$

## THICKNESS (d) USING SCREW GAUGE

Least count (LC) of screw gauge $=0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$
Zero coincidence $=0$

| Trial | PSR <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | HSR | Corrected HSR | Total reading=PSR+ (corr. HSR x LC) <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7 | 20 | 20 | 7.20 |
| 2 | 7 | 22 | 22 | 7.22 |
| 3 | 7 | 22 | 22 | 7.22 |
| 4 | 7 | 20 | 20 | 7.20 |
| 5 | 7 | 20 | 20 | 7.20 |

Mean Thickness $(\mathrm{d})=0.007208 \mathrm{~m}$

## SUBSTITUTION AND CALCULATON

Young's modulus of mahogany , $Y=\frac{3 M g}{2 b d^{3}}\left(\frac{a l^{2}}{y}\right)$
$Y=\frac{3 \times 0.5 \times 9.8}{2 \times\left(2.532 \times 10^{-2}\right)\left(7.208 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{3}}(38.9614)$
$=2.752 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## CHAPTER 4

## RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

The value of Young's modulus obtained for various woods using Uniform bending method Follow as:

| Wood | Young's modulus obtained |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teak | $1.42 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Mahogany | $2.75 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Wild jack | $3.72 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Jackfruit tree | $2.21 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Cotton tree (paruthi) | $1.38 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |

Young's modulii of different woods have been estimated using the Uniform bending method. . It is seen that Young's modulus varies with respect to the materials selected. A material with higher Young's modulus is more elastic than a material with lower Young's modulus. In such materials more deformation occurs and they are unable to return to their original shape when deforming force is removed. The materials with higher Young's modulus bear higher stiffness materials than lower Young's modulus materials. Also, it can be said that stress to strain ratio is higher for more elastic materials than lower elastic materials.

Measurements of wood mechanical properties are crucial in assessing overall relative strengths (i.e., resistance to fracture) of different wood products, and in their propensity to deform under load.

Teak is mainly used in manufacture of furniture and boat deck because it is one of the strongest hardwood (have high Young's modulus value). Teak's high oil content, high tensile strength and tight grain make it particularly suitable where weather resistance is desired. It is also used for cutting boards, indoor flooring and much more due to its high tensile strength. Teak has
been grown extensively due to its high durability and applications in furniture industry. These properties make it costly.

Mahogany is a versatile durable hardwood. It is commonly used in furniture joinery and musical instruments. It is resistant to wear and tear. It is also used for construction of small boats. It is solid and stable and much less likely to twist, warp, split or crack over time.

Jack fruit tree is superior to teak for furniture because it's Young's modulus is greater than that of teak. It is used for manufacturing of windows, door, musical instruments such as veena and drums like mridangam, khajira etc. It is also termite proof.

Cotton wood is one of the lightest hardwood because it tends to fuzz (a frizzy mass of hair or fibre). It is mainly used to make wooden baskets, boxes. It is used as low cost furnishing. Strength of the cotton wood is barely week.

Wild jack is used to make frames of doors and windows. It has got high timber value; the quality is equivalent to teak with the advantage of lightness. It is highly preferred for furniture industry. Famous snakes boat of kerala are often build of this wood.

Among the 5 woods wild jack tree would seem to be stronger than others therefore it is best suitable for construction purposes and other applications. According to the results, Young's modulus of the five types of wood in decreasing order are as follows,

Wild jack > Mahogany > Jackfruit > Teak > Cotton tree
Wood originating from various types of trees has different properties. Even wood from one tree has different properties compared to the end with its base. In timber technology, deep knowledge is needed in the use of wood as a structural component. Therefore, the use of wood must be truly effective and optimal with high efficiency, namely using low-quality wood which is varied with high-quality wood. There is also wide range of application for Young's modulus.

Elasticity is used widely in the design and analysis of structures such as beam, plates, shells. By measuring the properties such as Young's modulus, Rigidity modulus etc. of kinds of wood, a great deal of uncertainty can be removed from the building process. Finding Young's modulus of different woods is useful especially in the field of construction work.
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